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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.002 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.039$
$w R$ factor $=0.121$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=17.8$

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## N,6-Dimethyl-4-(methylsulfanyl)-3-nitro-4H-chromen-2-amine

In the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$, the pyran ring adopts an envelope conformation. An $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond generates an $S(6)$ ring motif and intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions generate a centrosymmetric $R_{2}^{2}(14)$ dimer.

## Comment

Chromene derivatives have recently received considerable attention owing to their synthetic and pharmaceutical importance, and they are frequently found in naturally occurring heterocycles, many of which exhibit biological activity. These derivatives inhibit bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated production of TNF- $\alpha$ from human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC). Additionally, these compounds inhibit NF-kB-mediated transcription activation (Cheng et al., 2003).

(I)

The $\mathrm{Cs} p^{2}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths in the title compound, (I) (Table 1), deviate slightly from the corresponding mean values of 1.353 (7), 1.819 (19) and 1.218 (13) A, respectively (Allen et al., 1987). The bond angles around atom C3 show deviation from the ideal tetrahedral value. The bond lengths and angles are comparable to those observed for N -benzyl- N -[4-(methylsulfanyl)-3-nitro- 4 H -chromen-2-yl]amine (Bhaskaran et al., 2006).

The pyran ring adopts an envelope conformation, with atom C3 deviating from the O1/C1/C2/C4/C5 plane by 0.222 (2) $\AA$. The asymmetry parameter (Nardelli, 1983) $\Delta C_{s}(\mathrm{C} 3)$ is $0.7(2)^{\circ}$, and the puckering parameters (Cremer \& Pople, 1975) $Q, \theta$ and $\varphi$ are 0.164 (1) $\AA, 103.6(5)^{\circ}$ and $358.8(5)^{\circ}$, respectively. The dihedral angle between the $\mathrm{O} 1 / \mathrm{C} 1 / \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{C} 4 / \mathrm{C} 5$ and C4-C9 planes is $5.05(6)^{\circ}$. The nitro and methylsulfanyl groups are nearly coplanar with the chromene system (Fig. 1).

The molecular structure is stabilized by an intramolecular $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ hydrogen-bonding interaction, which generates an $S(6)$ ring motif (Bernstein et al., 1995). The crystal packing is stabilized by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ intermolecular interactions


Figure 1
The structure of (I), showing 30\% probability displacement ellipsoids.


Figure 2
The crystal packing of (I). For clarity, H atoms not involved in the hydrogen-bonding interactions have been omitted. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.
(Table 2), which generate a centrosymmetric $R_{2}^{2}(14)$ dimer centred at $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

## Experimental

To a mixture of 5-methyl-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( 27 mmol ) and $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry tetrahydrofuran ( 7 ml ), $N$-methyl $-N$ -[(E)-1-(methylsulfanyl)-2-nitro-1-ethenyl]amine $\quad(27 \mathrm{mmol}) \quad$ was added under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred thoroughly for 1 h and then refluxed for 8 h . Unreacted NaH was quenched with a few drops of acetic acid. The reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane ( 50 ml ), and washed with a water and brine solution. Evaporation of the solvent and column chromatography (silica gel, hexane and ethyl acetate) afforded compound (I) as a pale-yellow solid. Single crystals were grown by slow evaporation of a hexane-ethyl acetate ( $7: 3 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) solution.

Crystal data
$\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$
$Z=4$
$M_{r}=266.31$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
$a=10.5558$ (5) $\AA$
$b=17.5031$ ( 8 ) $\AA$
$c=6.9415$ (3) $\AA$
$\beta=101.863$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=1255.11(10) \AA^{3}$
$D_{x}=1.409 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=0.26 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293$ (2) K
Block, pale yellow
$0.22 \times 0.22 \times 0.19 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD areadetector diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: none
14189 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.039$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.121$
$S=1.07$
2962 reflections
166 parameters

2962 independent reflections
2716 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.019$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=28.0^{\circ}$

H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0707 P)^{2}\right.$ $+0.2498 P$ ]
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.004$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.31 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.16 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $1.317(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $1.449(2)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $1.341(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $1.793(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $1.380(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $1.243(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $1.862(1)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $1.264(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $1.395(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $116.5(1)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $121.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $122.8(1)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $124.8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $117.4(1)$ | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $120.5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $122.3(1)$ | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $118.6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $122.5(1)$ | $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $100.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $115.3(1)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $176.6(1)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $3.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $70.6(1)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $2.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $-5.3(2)$ |  |  |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.86 | 1.99 | $2.611(2)$ | 128 |
| $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 A \cdots 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.96 | 2.44 | $3.243(2)$ | 141 |
| Symmetry code: (i) $-x+1,-y,-z+1$ |  |  |  |  |

H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with an $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ distance of $0.86 \AA$ and C-H distances in the range $0.93-0.98 \AA$. The $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ values were constrained to be $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}$ of the carrier atom for methyl H atoms and $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}$ for the remaining H atoms.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and PARST (Nardelli, 1995).
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